

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	· · ·	
AIRS ID#: 0111013 DATE: <u>3/15/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1300</u>	DEPART: <u>1400</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FT LAUDERDALE PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 5512 NW 10TH T	ERR		
FORT LAUDERD	DALE 33309-2810		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: dmontalvan@adonelconcrete.com CONTACT NAME: ANAMARIA GARCIA* Email: amgarcia@adonelconcrete.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/28/2012 / 5/28 (effective date) (end decrease)	Mobile: PHONE Mobile: 8/2017	(786)258-1173	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Deyanirhal Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEYANIR If no, who is?:	.HAH MONTALVAN*?		
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still ANAMARIA GARCIA If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le			

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-SE silo (flyash) w/individual baghouse, 70T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 3/16/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta \text{N/A} \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No □ No
DADEW STATE OPERINATIONS DATES AND ALLES AND A		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	d	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo		
paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	⊠ Yes	∐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	□ Vos	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-NW silo (cement) w/individual baghouse, 82T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 3/16/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta N/A \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- X Yes	□ No □ No □ No
DIRECT STATE ORGERY/ MICHAEL B. L. (2.20(.414/2) E. L. (2.20(.414/		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🕅 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	ZJ 105	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		□ N.
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	🔀 Yes	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Xes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		- -
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	- ∐ Yes □ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper/batcher w/ central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 3/16/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, Areas, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, Areas, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, Roads, Parking Areas, Pa</u>	Vords	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Files, and	<u>1 arus</u>	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to cor emissions by: 	ntrol unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when no 	ecessary to	☐ No
control emissions?	Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborn	ne	□ N.
particulate matter?	rainment of	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to t	he truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑	
	box for each	
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- Xes - Yes - Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propare	$\frac{\text{vane/yr}}{\text{ne/yr}} \le 1.00$?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consun for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption ⊠ Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2. Does the owner or operator:	_	_
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		∐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	X Yes	☐ No
permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	·	neck only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both state concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If a</i>	tionary and relocatable	for each question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes 🛭 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pr b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	ior to changing location?	Yes 🛛 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	s days following a relocation?	Yes 🛛 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five be		Yes No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpo If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it w co-located at the permitted facility?	that separate permit: se (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?	Yes 🗵 No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		neck 🗹 only one for each question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administs. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	f the facility or any emissions units or strative change at the facility?	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substant d. A change in ownership?		Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		Yes No
Elizabeth F. Susky	3/15/2013	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
3	3/15/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspectio	n

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 3/15/2013, AQD staff (E. Susky) observed operations at Adonel Concrete. The facility is a batch concrete plant. The VE test is scheduled for 5/17/2013.